US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

PAGE 1 OF 3

CASE GS0043	METHAMIDOPHOS	PM 04/16/81
CHEM 101201	Methamidophos (0,5	dimethyl phosphoram
BRANCH EEB	DISC 40 TOPIC 05050542	
FORMULATION O	0 - ACTIVE INGREDIENT	
	ID 00041313 CONTENT CAT 01	
of Monitor	Burke, M.A.; Burnett, R.M. (1975)	Li Report No. 57993. nder 3125=280; submit=
SUBST, CLASS	2 \$.	
DIRECT RVW TI	IME # 1 hour (MH) START-DATE 2/1/8	32 END DATE 2/1/82
TITLES	Douglas J. Urban Fish and WIldlife Biologist Ecological Effects Branch, HED, OPP CS4; 557-5632	, ,
SIGNATURE:	Jouglas & Elstra	DATE: 3/19/82
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:		
SIGNATURE:		DATES

Reviewers Conclusion:

A. Validation Category: Core

B. <u>Discussion</u>: This study is scientifically sound and with LD50's of 10.1 mg/kg and 11.0 mg/kg for males and females, respectively, methamidopho is highly toxic to behavite quail. The study does fulfill the requirement for an LD50 to an upland game bird.

DATA EVALUATION SHEET

- 1. CHEMICAL: Monitor
- 2. FORMULATION: 0, S-dimethyl phosphoramidothioate ... 75%
- Shaughnessy Number: 101201
 3. CITATION: Nelson, D.L. 1979. Acute Oral Toxicity of Monitor

 Technical to Bobwhite Quail. Received 12/10/80. An unpublished report prepared by Mobay Chemical Corporation of Chevron Chemical Company. Report No. 67993
- 4. REVIEWED BY: Daniel Rieder Wildlife Biologist EEB/HED
- 5. DATE REVIEWED: 1/8/81
- 6. TEST TYPE: Avian acute oral
 - A. Test Species: Bobwhite Quail
 - B. Test Material: Monitor Technical (75% a.i.)
- 7. REPORTED RESULTS:

Male and Female birds were tested seperately.

The LD50 for male bobwhite quail was 10.1 (7.9-13.1) mg/kg.

The LD50 for Female bobwhite quail was 11.0 (8.5-14) mg/kg.

- 8. REVIEWERS CONCLUSION:
 - A. Validation Category: Core
 - B. <u>Discussion</u>: The test was scientifically conducted and meets guideline requirements. It shows that monitor is highly toxic to bobwhite quail. The technical grade of monitor used in the test was 75% active ingredient.

REPORTED METHODS AND RESULTS

A. Test Procedure

Twenty 22-week old bobwhite quail were tested at each of 5 test levels (2.2, 4.7, 10.1, 21.8, and 47.1 mg/kg) and a control. The twenty at each level were divided into two groups; ten males and ten females. The procedure was described in excellent detail. The birds weight and food consumption were reported for the 14-day observation period following dosage. The tested material, Monitor Technical was 75% active ingredient.

B. Statistical Analysis

Mortality data were analyzed by the method of Carrol S. Weil, Biometrics, Vol. 8 No. 3, 1952.

C. Results

The results were reported seperately for the two test groups. The LD50 and 95% confidence limits for male bobwhite quail dosed with Monitor was 10.1~(7.9-13.1)~mg/kg. For female bobwhite quail it was 11.0~(8.5-14.1)~mg/kg. The surviving birds at the 4.7 and 10.1 level lost weight and ate less than the control birds for the first 4 days or so.

REVIEWERS EVALUATION

A. Test Procedure

The reported protocol was acceptable. However, it should be noted that Monitor technical is only 75% active ingredient.

B. Statistics

An independent statistical analysis was performed using the Thompson-Weil method. The results were essentially the same as those reported.

C. Discussion

The tested material is highly toxic to bobwhite quail. This test shows that a dose of monitor will cause a reduction in food consumption. This suggests that monitor makes the birds too sick to eat for sometime after dosage. In this case monitor (technical) is 75% active ingredient.

D. Conclusion

Category: Core